IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE TWENTY EIGHTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE B. SUDERSHAN REDDY

WRIT PETITION NO : 12801 of 2001

Between:

N.Jagga Reddy S/o. Malla Reddy

C/o.Saibaba Tourist and Services,

Sikhwadi, Karimnagar district

..... PETITIONER

AND

1.. The Sub Divisional Police Officer

Peddapalli, Karimnagar district

2.. The Circle Inspector of Police,

Peddapalli, Karimnagar districe

3.. The Sub –Inspector of Police,

Peddapalli, Karimnagar districe

4.. The Revenue Divisional Officer,

Peddepalli, Karimnagar district,

5.. The Mandal Revenue Officer,

Odela mandal, Karimnagar district

6.. Thatipalli Ailaiah S/o. Chandraiah

R/o. Kanagarthi (J) in Odela Revenue Mandal

Karimnagar district

7.. A.Mondaiah S/o. Venkataiah

R/o.Kanagarthi (J) in Odela Revenue Mandal,

Karimanagar district

(R6 &R7 are impleaded as per Court orders in

W.P.M.P.No.33814 of 2001 dated 1-2-2002)

...RESPONDENTs

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue appropriate writ order or direction, more particularly one in the nature of Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondent nos.1 to 3 in not taking appropriate action against the persons interfering with the transportation /quarrying of sand in Grm Panchayat limits of Kanagarthi village, Odela Mandal in Manair river allotted to the petitioner vide proceedings ROC No.C/699/2000 dated 17-11-2000 of the Mandal Revenue Officer, Odela as arbitrary, illegal, violative of Article 14 of the Constitution of India and consequently direct the respondents to take appropriate action against persons interfering with the transportation/quarrying of the sand by the petitioner in the reach at Kanagarthi village of manair river, Odela Mandal, Manair river

Counsel for the Petitioner:MRCH.JANARDHAN REDDY

Counsel for the Respondent No. 1 to 5 : GP FOR LAND ACQUISITION

Counsel for Respondent Nos. 6 & 7 :MR.K.ASHOK REDDY

The Court made the following :

ORDER:

Learned counsel for petitioner called absent. Learned counsel for respondents 6 and 7 submits that the lease period was already expired and the cause in the writ petition does not survive.

Accordingly, the writ petition is dismissed as infructuous. No costs.

_____

N.V.RAMANA,J

28-6-2005

TVK

..... REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

To

1.. The Sub Divisional Police Officer

Peddapalli, Karimnagar district

2.. The Circle Inspector of Police,

Peddapalli, Karimnagar district

3.. The Sub –Inspector of Police,

Peddapalli, Karimnagar district

4.. The Revenue Divisional Officer,

Peddepalli, Karimnagar district,

5.. The Mandal Revenue Officer,

Odela mandal, Karimnagar district

6) 2 CD copies

7). 2 CCs to Government Pleader for Revenue, High Court of A.P. Buildings, Hyderabad (OUT)

Form-NIC-OGS/WP{ }